

COMPLEMENTED COPIES OF ℓ_1 IN SPACES OF VECTOR MEASURES AND APPLICATIONS

NARCISSE RANDRIANANTOANINA

ABSTRACT. Let X be a Banach space and (Ω, Σ) be a measure space. We provide a characterization of sequences in the space of X -valued countably additive measures on (Ω, Σ) of bounded variation that generates complemented copies of ℓ_1 . As application, we prove that if a dual Banach space E^* has Pełczyński's property (V^*) then so does the space of E^* -valued countably additive measures with the variation norm. Another application, we show that for a Banach space X , the space $\ell_\infty(X)$ contains a complemented copy of ℓ_1 if and only if X contains all ℓ_1^n uniformly complemented.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a Banach space and (Ω, Σ) be a measure space. We denote by $M(\Omega, X)$ the space of X -valued countably additive measures on (Ω, Σ) that are of bounded variation with the usual total variation norm. If E and F are Banach spaces, we denote by $E \hat{\otimes}_\pi F$ (resp. $E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F$) the projective (resp. injective) tensor product of E and F . We will say that a sequence $(x_n)_n$ is equivalent to a complemented copy of ℓ_1 in X if $(x_n)_n$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 and its closed linear span is complemented in X .

In [12] characterization of sequences that are equivalent to complemented copy of ℓ_1 in Bochner spaces were given. In this paper we will extend the characterization of [12] for the space $M(\Omega, X)$. When X does not have the Radon-Nikodym property one cannot hope to represent a measure by its Bochner derivative, but we can always have a weak*-density valued in X^{**} . That is the approach we will take to characterize sequences in $M(\Omega, X)$ that generates complemented copy of ℓ_1 . This approach was used by Talagrand in [19] to reduce the study of spaces of vector measures to that of vector valued function spaces.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E40, 46G10.

Our main result can be viewed as a common generalization of Theorem 1 of [12] and Theorem 15 of [19]. We show that if $(e_n)_n$ denotes the unit vector basis of c_0 and $(m_n)_n$ a sequence in $M(\Omega, X)$ then there exists a sequence $G_n \in \text{conv}\{m_n, m_{n+1}, \dots\}$ such that $\rho(G_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n$ is either weakly Cauchy or equivalent to the ℓ_1 basis in $X^{**} \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$ (here $\rho(G_n)(\cdot)$ denoted a weak*-density of G_n that will be described below). We then use this result to test whether a given sequence $(m_n)_n$ in $M(\Omega, X)$ contains a subsequence equivalent to a complemented copy of ℓ_1 or not.

In Section 2, we set some preliminary background about the space $M(\Omega, X)$. In particular, we extend the characterization of the dual of $M(\Omega, X)$ given by Talagrand in [19] to characterization of operators from $M(\Omega, X)$ into ℓ_1 .

Section 3 is devoted to the proof of our main theorem about the characterization of sequences in $M(\Omega, X)$ that generates complemented copy of ℓ_1 .

In Section 4 and Section 5, we apply the main theorem to study Pełczyński's property (V^*) for $M(\Omega, X)$ and complemented copies of ℓ_1 in $\ell_\infty(X)$ and $L^\infty(\lambda, X)$. We proved that if X is a dual space then $M(\Omega, X)$ has property (V^*) whenever X does. Using the structure of spaces of vector measures, we were able to characterize Banach spaces X so that $\ell_\infty(X)$ contains complemented copy of ℓ_1 . This problem was raised in [16] (P. 389) (see also [9] Problem 1). Diaz proved in [2] that if X is a Banach lattice then $\ell_\infty(X)$ contains complemented copy of ℓ_1 if and only if X contains all ℓ_1^n uniformly complemented. We obtained that similar characterization holds without the lattice assumption.

Unexplained notation and terminology can be found in the books [3] and [20].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (Ω, Σ) be a measure space and X a Banach space. For $m \in M(\Omega, X)$, we denote by $|m|$ its variation. Let λ be a probability measure on Ω with $|m| \leq \lambda$ and consider ρ a lifting of $L^\infty(\lambda)$ [7]. For each $x^* \in X^*$, the scalar measure $x^* \circ m$ has density $(d/d\lambda)(x^* \circ m) \in L^\infty(\lambda)$.

We define $\rho(m)(\omega)$ to be the element in X^{**} defined by

$$\rho(m)(\omega) = \rho \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}(x^* \circ m) \right) (\omega) .$$

It is known that $x^*(m(A)) = \int_A \langle \rho(m)(\omega), x^* \rangle d\lambda(\omega)$ for each measurable subset A of Ω and each $x^* \in X^*$. Similarly, it can be shown that

$$|m|(A) = \int_A \|\rho(m)(\omega)\| d\lambda(\omega) \text{ for every } A \in \Sigma .$$

In the case $X = E^*$ is a dual space, $\rho(m)(\omega)$ will be the element of X defined by

$$\rho(m)(\omega)(y) = \rho \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}(y \circ m) \right) (\omega) \text{ for every } y \in E .$$

Let us denote by τ the product on $(X_1^{**})^{\mathbb{N}}$ of the weak*-topology. It was observed in [19] that if $(m_n)_n$ is a sequence in $M(\Omega, X)$ such that $|m_n| \leq \lambda$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then the map $\theta : \Omega \rightarrow (X_1^{**})^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$\theta(\omega) = (\rho(m_n)(\omega))_{n \geq 1} \text{ is } \tau\text{-Borel measurable.}$$

For a Banach space X and a compact Hausdorff space Ω , we denote by $C(\Omega, X)$ the space of all X -valued continuous functions with domain Ω . It is a well known fact that $C(\Omega, X)^*$ is isometrically isomorphic to $M(\Omega, X^*)$. This fact will be used in the sequel.

We also need some duality results between spaces of operators and tensor products. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. The space of bounded operators from X into Y will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$; $N(X, Y)$ will stand for the space of nuclear operators from X into Y and $I(X, Y)$ will denote the space of integral operators from X into Y . We refer to [4] and [20] for basic properties of these spaces. The following identifications will be used throughout this paper; for what follows the symbol $\approx$ means isometrically isomorphic.

Proposition 2.1. *For Banach spaces X and Y ,*

- (i) $X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y \approx N(X, Y)$;
- (ii) $(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^* \approx \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$;
- (iii) $(X \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)^* \approx I(X, Y^*)$.

As in [12], the notation X_1 will be used for the closed unit ball of a given Banach space X ; and finally, to avoid confusion, we will denote by $(e_n)_n$ the unit vector basis of c_0 while those of ℓ_1 will be denoted by $(e_n^*)_n$.

Following the approach of [19], we will study bounded operators from $M(\Omega, X)$ into ℓ_1 .

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a Banach space and $\mathcal{S} = \{S^*, S \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, X)_1\}$. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is weak*-dense in $\mathcal{L}(X^*, \ell_1)_1$.*

Proof. If we denote by $\pi_n : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_1^n$ the canonical projection then for every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X^*, \ell_1)$, the sequence $(\pi_n \circ T)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to T for the strong operator topology so $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{L}(X^*, \ell_1^n)_1$ is weak*-dense in $\mathcal{L}(X^*, \ell_1)_1$. If we denote by $\mathcal{S}_n = \{S^*, S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^n, X)_1\}$ then it is enough to show that $\mathcal{S}_n$ is weak*-dense in $\mathcal{L}(X^*, \ell_1^n)_1$. To see this notice that $K(\ell_\infty^n, X)^{**} = \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^n, X^{**})$. Let T be an element of $\mathcal{L}(X^*, \ell_1^n)_1$; $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^n, X^{**})_1$ so there exists a net $(S_\alpha)_\alpha$ of elements of $\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^n, X)_1$ that converges to T^* for the weak*-topology in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^n, X^{**})_1$ and it is now easy to check that $(S_\alpha^*)_ \alpha$ converges to T for the weak*-topology in $\mathcal{L}(X^*, \ell_1^n)_1$. The lemma is proved. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1$ defined as follows:

$T \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if there exists a finite partition $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_n$ of Ω and $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1)_1$ such that $\forall m \in M(\Omega, X)$

$$T(m) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(m(\Omega_i)) \in \ell_1.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{B}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1$ and is convex.

Lemma 2.3. *The set $\mathcal{B}$ is weak*-dense in $\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1$.*

Proof. To see the lemma, note that from [19] p.719, if E is a Banach space and $\mathcal{A}$ is the subset of $M(\Omega, E)^*$ defined by $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if there exist a finite measurable partition $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n$ of Ω and $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*$ in E_1^* such that $\varphi(m) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^*(m(\Omega_i))$ then $\mathcal{A}$ is weak*-dense in the unit ball of $M(\Omega, E)_1^*$. As above, since $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1^n)_1$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1$ for the strong operator topology, it is enough to check that for each $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1^n)_1 \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{weak}^*}$. Notice also that if $T \in$

$\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1$ and there exist measurable partition $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_k$ of Ω and $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$ in $\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1)$ such that $T(m) = \sum_{i \leq k} t_i(m(\Omega_i))$ for all $m \in M(\Omega, X)$, then $\|t_i\| \leq 1$ for all $i \leq k$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1^n) \approx (M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_\infty^n)^*$. We claim that the space $M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_\infty^n$ is isomorphic to $M(\Omega, X \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_\infty^n)$. To see this notice that every element u of $M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_\infty^n$ has a unique representation $u = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \otimes e_i$. Consider the following map $J : M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_\infty^n \rightarrow M(\Omega, X \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_\infty^n)$ defined by $J(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \otimes e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(\cdot) \otimes e_i$. The operator J is well defined, $\|J\| \leq 1$ and it is immediate that J is one to one and onto. So $J^* : M(\Omega, X \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_\infty^n)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1^n)$ is also one to one and onto. There exists a constant C such that $C.J^*((M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_\infty^n)_1^*)$ contains $\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1^n)_1$. Define $\mathcal{A}$ as above ($E = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_\infty^n$). Since $\mathcal{A}$ is weak* dense in $M(\Omega, X \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_\infty^n)_1^*$, the set $C.J^*(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1^n)_1$ is weak* dense in the space $\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1^n)_1$. We conclude the proof of the lemma by noticing that $C.J^*(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1^n)_1$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}$. The lemma is proved. $\square$

Let λ be a probability measure on Ω with $|m| \leq \lambda$. We define a map $Z(\cdot, m)$ in $\mathcal{B}$ as follows:

$$Z(\cdot, m) : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow L^\infty(\lambda, \ell_1)$$

with if $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ is defined by the finite measurable partition $(\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n)$ of Ω and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1)_1$,

$$Z(\varphi, m) = \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{d(t_i \circ m)}{d\lambda} \chi_{\Omega_i}.$$

Notice that since ℓ_1 has the RNP, the measure $t_i \circ m$ has Bochner density with respect to λ , hence $d(t_i \circ m)/d\lambda$ exists and belongs to $L^\infty(\lambda, \ell_1)$. Also for every measurable subset C of Ω ,

$$\text{Bochner-} \int_C Z(\varphi, m) d\lambda = \varphi(m_C) \quad (1)$$

where $m_C(A) = m(A \cap C)$ for every $A \in \Sigma$. In particular

$$\text{Bochner-} \int_\Omega Z(\varphi, m) d\lambda = \varphi(m).$$

Note that $L^\infty(\lambda, \ell_1)$ is the dual of $L^1(\lambda, c_0)$. Let $(\varphi_\alpha)_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for every $\mathcal{E} \in M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$, $\lim_\alpha \langle \varphi_\alpha, \mathcal{E} \rangle$ exists. For every $C \in \Sigma$ and

$u \in c_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C \langle Z(\varphi_\alpha, m), u \rangle d\lambda &= \langle \varphi_\alpha(m_C), u \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_\alpha, m_C \otimes u \rangle \\ &= \langle Z(\varphi_\alpha, m), u\chi_C \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so for any simple function f in $L^1(\lambda, c_0)$, $\lim_\alpha \langle Z(\varphi_\alpha, m), f \rangle$ exists and since simple functions are dense in $L^1(\lambda, c_0)$, we conclude that the map $Z(\cdot, m)$ is weak*- uniformly continuous. Hence it has a continuous extension still denoted by $\varphi \mapsto Z(\varphi, m)$ from $\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1$ into $L^\infty(\lambda, \ell_1)$. Equation (1) is still valid for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1$ and $(m_n)_n$ be a sequence in $M(\Omega, X)$ with $|m_n| \leq \lambda$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a countable subset D of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(X^{**}, \ell_1)$ and a map $\omega \mapsto T(\omega) \in \overline{D}^{\sigma(\mathcal{L}(X^{**}, \ell_1), X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} c_0)}$ so that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$Z(\varphi, m_n)(\omega) = T(\omega)(\rho(m_n)(\omega)) \text{ a.e.}$$

Proof. Here we adopt the methods used in [19] and [14] (Lemma 1). Recall that $(e_j)_j$ denotes the unit vector basis of c_0 . For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in M(\Omega, X)$, $|m| \leq \lambda$, we define

$$Z_j(\cdot, m) : \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1 \rightarrow L^1(\lambda)$$

by $Z_j(\varphi, m)(\omega) = \langle Z(\varphi, m)(\omega), e_j \rangle$ a.e.

The map $Z_j(\varphi, m)$ takes every $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1$ into $L^\infty(\lambda)$ and since in the unit ball of $L^\infty(\lambda)$, the topologies $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$ and $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ coincide, the map $Z_j(\cdot, m)$ is weak* to weakly continuous.

For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(p)} : \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1 &\longrightarrow (L^1(\lambda))^{2p} \\ \varphi &\longmapsto (Z_j(\varphi, m_n))_{\substack{n \leq p \\ j \leq p}} \end{aligned}$$

Same as above, $Z^{(p)}$ is weak* to weakly continuous. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is weak* dense in $\mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)_1$, we can choose an operator $\varphi_p \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\|Z^{(p)}(\varphi_p) - Z^{(p)}(\varphi)\| \leq 2^{-p}$$

so

$$\sup \{ \|Z_j(\varphi_p, m_n) - Z_j(\varphi, m_n)\|_1, \ n \leq p, \ j \leq p \} \leq 2^{-p}.$$

Hence for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \langle Z(\varphi_p, m_n)(\omega), e_j \rangle = \langle Z(\varphi, m_n)(\omega), e_j \rangle$$

a.e. and we deduce that for a.e. ω ,

$$\text{weak}^*\text{-}\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} Z(\varphi_p, m_n)(\omega) = Z(\varphi, m_n)(\omega) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_p(m) = \sum_{i \leq k_p} T_{i,p}(m(\Omega_{i,p}))$ where $T_{i,p} \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1)_1$ and $(\Omega_{i,p})_{i \leq k_p}$ is a measurable partition of Ω . Let $J : c_0 \rightarrow \ell^\infty$ be the natural inclusion. We need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1)$ and $m \in M(\Omega, X)$ with $|m| \leq \lambda$. The Bochner density of the measure $T \circ m \in M(\Omega, \ell_1)$ is given by $\omega \mapsto J^* \circ T^{**}(\rho(m)(\omega))$.*

To see the lemma, notice that for every $u \in c_0$, the scalar valued map given by $\omega \rightarrow \langle J^* \circ T^{**}(\rho(m)(\omega)), u \rangle$ is measurable. In fact, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\langle J^* \circ T^{**}(\rho(m)(\omega)), u \rangle = \langle \rho(m)(\omega), T^*Ju \rangle$ and since $T^*(Ju) \in X^*$, the function $J^* \circ T^{**}(\rho(m)(\cdot))$ is weak*-scalarly measurable and since ℓ_1 is a separable dual, $J^* \circ T^{**}(\rho(m)(\cdot))$ is norm-measurable. Moreover for every $A \in \Sigma$ and $u \in c_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_A J^* \circ T^{**}(\rho(m)(\omega)) d\lambda(\omega), u \right\rangle &= \int_A \langle \rho(m)(\omega), T^*Ju \rangle d\lambda(\omega) \\ &= \langle m(A), T^*Ju \rangle = \langle T \circ m(A), Ju \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

To complete the proof of the proposition, set $D = \{J^* \circ T_{i,p}^{**}, i \leq k_p \text{ and } p \geq 1\}$. The set D is a countable subset of $\mathcal{L}(X^{**}, \ell_1)_1$. Now consider a free ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathbb{N}$. For each $\omega \in \Omega$, let $T(\omega) \in \overline{D}^{\sigma(\mathcal{L}(X^{**}, \ell_1), X^{**} \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} c_0)}$ be the weak*-limit along $\mathcal{U}$ of the sequence $(J^* \circ T_{i(p,\omega),p}^{**})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $i(p, \omega)$ is the unique $i \leq k_p$ so that $\omega \in \Omega_{i,p}$. We now have for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\varphi_p, m_n)(\omega) &= \sum_{i \leq k_p} \frac{d(T_{i,p} \circ m_n)(\omega)}{d\lambda} \chi_{\Omega_{i,p}}(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{i \leq k_p} J^* \circ T_{i,p}^{**}(\rho(m_n)(\omega)) \chi_{\Omega_{i,p}}(\omega) \\ &= \langle J^* \circ T_{i(p,\omega)}^{**}, \rho(m_n)(\omega) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and hence by taking the weak*-limit on p , we get

$$Z(\varphi, m_n)(\omega) = T(\omega)(\rho(m_n)(\omega)) .$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.6. *If $X = Y^*$ is a dual space then $\rho(m_n)(\omega) \in X$ for every ω . The set D in the above proposition can be chosen as a subset of $\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1)$ and the map $\omega \mapsto T(\omega) \in \overline{D}^{\sigma(\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1), X \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} c_0)}$ takes its values in $\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1)_1$.*

3. COMPLEMENTED COPIES OF ℓ_1 IN $M(\Omega, X)$

In this section we will provide a characterization of some sequences in $M(\Omega, X)$ that generate complemented copies of ℓ_1 generalizing the characterization given in [12] for Bochner spaces. Specifically we will study, for a sequence $(m_n)_n$ in $M(\Omega, X)$, the weak convergence of the sequence $(m_n \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $M(\Omega, X) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} c_0$. For the rest of this section, (Ω, Σ) is a measure space, λ a probability measure on (Ω, Σ) and ρ a lifting of $L^\infty(\lambda)$. For simplicity we will denote by

$$M^\infty(\lambda, X) = \{m \in M(\Omega, X) , \ |m| \leq \lambda\} .$$

The following result is our main criterion for determining if a given sequence in $M(\Omega, X)$ has a subsequence that generates a complemented subspace equivalent to ℓ_1 .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(m_n)_n$ be a sequence in $M^\infty(\lambda, X)$. Then there exist a sequence $G_n \in \text{conv}\{m_n, m_{n+1}, \dots\}$ and two measurable subsets C and L of Ω with $\lambda(C \cup L) = 1$ and such that:*

- (a) *for $\omega \in C$, $(\rho(G_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is weakly Cauchy in $X^{**} \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} c_0$;*
- (b) *for $\omega \in L$, $(\rho(G_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 in $X^{**} \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} c_0$.*

Proof. We will reduce the proof to the case of Bochner spaces treated in [12] based on the approach used by Talagrand in the proof of Theorem 13 of [19]. For what follows, we will identify (for a Banach E) the space $\ell_\infty(E)$ to the space $\mathcal{L}(\ell_1, E)$: A sequence $(x_n)_n$ in $\ell_\infty(E)$ is

identified to the operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, E)$ defined by

$$T((a_n)_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n \text{ for every } (a_n)_n \in \ell_1 .$$

Let F be a separable Banach space. Consider $(U_n)_n$ a countable basis for the weak*-topology on $\mathcal{L}(F, \ell_1)_1$. As in [12], we denote by $\mathcal{K}$ the set of all (weak*) compact sets of $\mathcal{L}(F, \ell_1)_1$ and we say that a map $\omega \mapsto K(\omega)$ from Ω to $\mathcal{K}$ is measurable if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{\omega \in \Omega, K(\omega) \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\}$ is measurable.

We are now ready to present the proof of theorem. Recall that $\rho(m_n)(\omega) \in X^{**}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Define for $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$K_0(\omega) = \{(t(\rho(m_n)(\omega)))_{n \geq 1} ; t \in \mathcal{L}(E^{**}, \ell_1)_1\} .$$

Clearly $K_0(\omega)$ is a subset of the unit ball of $\ell_\infty(\ell_1)$ and by the identification above, we will view $K_0(\omega)$ as a subset of $\mathcal{L}(\ell_1, \ell_1)_1$ so $K_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ and we claim that $K_0(\cdot)$ is measurable. To see this for each $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$U_{k,j}(\varepsilon) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, \ell_1)_1 , |\langle T e_k^*, e_j \rangle| < \varepsilon\} .$$

We get that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega : K_0(\omega) \cap U_{k,j}(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset\} &= \{\omega : \exists T \in K_0(\omega) \cap U_{k,j}(\varepsilon)\} \\ &= \{\omega : \exists t \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**}, \ell_1)_1 ; |\langle t(\rho(m_k)(\omega)), e_j \rangle| < \varepsilon\} . \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S^*, S \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, X^*)_1\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X^{**}, \ell_1)_1$. $\mathcal{S}$ is weak*-dense in $\mathcal{L}(X^{**}, \ell_1)_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega : K_0(\omega) \cap U_{k,j}(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset\} &= \{\omega : \exists t \in \mathcal{S} , |\langle t(\rho(m_k)(\omega)), e_j \rangle| < \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\omega : (\rho(m_n)(\omega))_{n \geq 1} \in V_{k,j}(\varepsilon)\} \end{aligned}$$

where the set $V_{k,j}(\varepsilon)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k,j}(\varepsilon) &= \{(\alpha_n)_n \in X_1^{**\mathbb{N}} ; \exists t \in \mathcal{S} , |\langle t(\alpha_k), e_j \rangle| < \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{(\alpha_n)_n \in X_1^{**\mathbb{N}} ; S \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, X^*)_1 , |\langle \alpha_k, S e_j \rangle| < \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

so $V_{k,j}(\varepsilon)$ is an open subset of $X_1^{**\mathbb{N}}$ for the topology τ (product of the weak*-topology of X_1^{**}). Now since $\omega \mapsto (\rho(m_n)(\omega))_{n \geq 1}$ is τ -Borel measurable, the set $\{\omega : K_0(\omega) \cap U_{k,j}(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset\}$ is measurable and one can conclude that $K_0(\cdot)$ is measurable using the fact that $(U_{k,j}(\varepsilon))_{k,j,\varepsilon}$ form a subbasis for the weak*-topology of $\mathcal{L}(\ell_1, \ell_1)_1$.

Let $f_n(\omega) = e_n^* \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$. The sequence $(f_n(\cdot))_n \in L^\infty(\lambda, \ell_1)$. We can apply to the sequence $(f_n)_n$ the construction used in the proof of Theorem 1 of [12] starting from $h_n^0 = f_n$ and $K_0(\omega)$ as above. The construction yields measurable subsets C and L with $\lambda(C \cup L) = 1$ and a sequence $g_n \in \text{conv}\{f_n, f_{n+1}, \dots\}$ such that for $\omega \in C$, $\langle t, g_n(\omega) \otimes e_n \rangle$ converges for every $t \in K_0(\omega)$ and for $\omega \in L$, there exists $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ such that for any finite sequence of zeroes and ones σ , there exists $t \in K_0(\omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n = 1 &\Rightarrow \langle t, g_n(\omega) \otimes e_n \rangle \geq b \\ \sigma_n = 0 &\Rightarrow \langle t, g_n(\omega) \otimes e_n \rangle \leq a . \end{aligned}$$

If $g_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} a_i f_i$ with $p_1 \leq q_1 < p_2 \leq q_2 \dots$ and $\sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} a_i = 1$, the sequence $G_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} a_i m_i$ satisfies the conclusion of the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(m_n)_n$ be a sequence in $M^\infty(\lambda, X)$.*

(a) *If for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$, the sequence $(\rho(m_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly Cauchy in $X^{**} \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$ then $(m_n \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly Cauchy in $M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$;*

(b) *If for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$, the sequence $(\rho(m_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly null in $X^{**} \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$ then $(m_n \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is weakly null in $M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$.*

(c) *If there is $L \subset \Omega$, $\lambda(L) > 0$ such that for $\omega \in L$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the sequence $(\rho(m_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_{n \geq k}$ is equivalent to the ℓ_1 -basis in $X^{**} \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$ then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the sequence $(m_n \otimes e_n)_{n \geq k}$ is equivalent to the ℓ_1 -basis in $M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$.*

Proof. To prove (a), let $T \in \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X)\ell^1)_1$. By Proposition 2.4, there exists a map $\omega \mapsto T(\omega)(\Omega \mapsto \mathcal{L}(X^{**}, \ell_1)_1)$ such that

$$Z(T, m_n)(\omega) = T(\omega)(\rho(m_n)(\omega)) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .$$

If for a.e. ω , $(\rho(m_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly Cauchy in $X^{**} \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$, then for a.e. ω ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Z(T, m_n)(\omega), e_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(\omega)(\rho(m_n)(\omega)), e_n \rangle$$

exists for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$ and therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T, m_n \otimes e_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \langle Z(T, m_n)(\omega), e_n \rangle d\lambda(\omega)$$

exists. Hence $(m_n \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly Cauchy in $M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$. The proof of (b) follows by the same argument.

Let us prove (c). Here we will adopt the proof of Theorem 15(c) of [19]. For $\omega \in L$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\alpha(k, \omega)$ be the best constant α such that $(\rho(m_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_{n \geq k}$ is α -equivalent to ℓ_1 . We have

$$\alpha(k, \omega) = \inf \left\{ \left\| \sum_{n \geq k} a_n \rho(m_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n \right\| / \sum_{n \geq k} |a_n| \right\}$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite sequence of rationals. The map $\alpha(k, \cdot)$ is measurable. Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(k, \omega) > 0$ for all $\omega \in L$, there exists $L' \subset L$, $\lambda(L') > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\rho(m_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_{n \geq k}$ is α -equivalent to ℓ_1 for each $\omega \in L'$.

Let P and Q be 2-disjoint finite subsets of $[k, \infty)$. For each $\omega \in L'$, there exists $T(\omega) \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**}, \ell_1)_1$ such that

$$\langle T(\omega)(\rho(m_q)(\omega)), e_q \rangle > \alpha/2 \quad \text{for } q \in P$$

and

$$\langle T(\omega)(\rho(m_q)(\omega)), e_q \rangle < -\alpha/2 \quad \text{for } q \in Q.$$

Since $P \cup Q$ is finite, and the set $\{S^*; S \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, X^*)_1\}$ is weak*-dense in $\mathcal{L}(X^{**}, \ell_1)_1$, the operator $T(\omega)$ above can be chosen in such a way that $T(\omega) = S(\omega)^*$ where $S(\omega) \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, X^*)_1$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} U(\omega) &= \{\omega' \in L', \langle T(\omega)(\rho(m_q)(\omega')), e_q \rangle > \alpha/2, q \in P \\ &\quad \text{and } \langle T(\omega)(\rho(m_q)(\omega')), e_q \rangle < -\alpha/2, q \in Q \} \\ &= \{\omega' \in L', \langle \rho(m_q)(\omega'), S(\omega)e_q \rangle > \alpha/2, q \in P \\ &\quad \text{and } \langle \rho(m_q)(\omega'), S(\omega)e_q \rangle < -\alpha/2, q \in Q \}. \end{aligned}$$

We notice as in [19] that $U(\omega) \subset \rho(U(\omega))$ and since $\omega \in U(\omega)$, $\rho(U(\omega)) \neq \emptyset$ and hence $\lambda(U(\omega)) > 0$. Let D be a countable subset of L' such that $\lambda(\bigcup_{\omega \in D} U(\omega))$ is maximal (among the possible choices of D). Then $\lambda(L' \setminus \bigcup_{\omega \in D} U(\omega)) = 0$, so we can choose $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in L'$ such that

$$\lambda\left(\bigcup_{i \leq n} U(\omega_i)\right) \geq \lambda(L')/2.$$

Let $\Omega_i = U(\omega_i) \setminus \bigcup_{\ell < i} U(\omega_\ell)$, $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n$ is a measurable partition of $\bigcup_{i \leq n} \Omega_i$. We define $T \in \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, X), \ell_1)$ by

$$T(m) = \sum_{i \leq n} T(\omega_i)(m(\Omega_i)) \quad \forall m \in M(\Omega, X).$$

The operator T is well defined and $\|T\| \leq 1$. Moreover for

$$\begin{aligned} q \in P &\Rightarrow \langle T(m_q), e_q \rangle > \frac{\alpha}{4} \lambda(L') \\ q \in Q &\Rightarrow \langle T(m_q), e_q \rangle > -\frac{\alpha}{4} \lambda(L'). \end{aligned}$$

In fact for every $q \in P$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(m_q), e_q \rangle &= \sum_{i \leq n} \langle T(\omega_i)(m_q(\Omega_i)), e_q \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \leq n} \langle \text{weak}^* - \int_{\Omega_i} \rho(m_q)(\omega) d\lambda, (\omega), S(\omega_i) e_q \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \leq n} \int_{\Omega_i} \langle \rho(m_q)(\omega), S(\omega_i) e_q \rangle d\lambda(\omega) \\ &> \sum_{i \leq n} \lambda(\Omega_i) \frac{\alpha}{2} > \frac{\alpha}{4} \lambda(L'). \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate is valid for $q \in Q$.

It follows from Rosenthal's argument in [15] (see also [3] p.205) that $(m_n \otimes e_n)_{n \geq k}$ is $\frac{\alpha}{4} \lambda(L')$ -equivalent to the ℓ_1 -basis in $M(\Omega, X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

Remark 3.3. *If the Banach space X is a dual space, then Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 are still valid with $X^{**} \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$ replaced by $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$. The proofs for this case are just notational adjustments of the proofs given here for Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 respectively.*

4. PEŁCZYŃSKI'S PROPERTY (V*) FOR $M(\Omega, X)$

Definition 4.1. Let E be a Banach space. A series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n$ in E is said to be a Weakly Unconditional Cauchy (W.U.C.) if for every x^* in E^* , the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |x^*(x_n)|$ is convergent.

There are many criteria for a series to be a W.U.C. series (see for instance [3] or [20]). The following definition introduced by Pełczyński in [10] isolates the class of spaces that we would like to study in this section.

Definition 4.2. A Banach space E is said to have property (V*) if a subset K of E is relatively weakly compact whenever $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} |x(x_n^*)| = 0$ for every W.U.C. series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n^*$ in E^* .

Definition 4.3. A subset K of a Banach space E is called a (V^*) -set if for every W.U.C. series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^*$ in E^* , the following holds: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} |x(x_n^*)| = 0$.

Hence a Banach space E has property (V^*) if and only if every (V^*) -set in E is relatively weakly compact.

The notion of (V^*) -set was introduced and studied by Bombal in [1]. He proved (see [1] Proposition 1.1) that a subset K of a Banach space is a (V^*) -set if and only if for every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, \ell_1)$, $T(K)$ is relatively compact in ℓ_1 . Using the fact that $\mathcal{L}(E, \ell_1) \approx (E \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} c_0)^*$, the following lemma is immediate

Lemma 4.4. *A subset K of a Banach space E is a (V^*) subset if and only if for every sequence $(x_n)_n$ in K , the sequence $(x_n \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly null in $E \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} c_0$.*

Property (V^*) has been considered by several authors. We refer to [1], [5], [6], [8], [11] and [13] for more additional information on property (V^*) . Perhaps the most appealing fact about property (V^*) is its connection with complemented copy of ℓ_1 . From a result of Emmanuele [5] (see also [6]), the following simple characterization can be deduced.

Proposition 4.5. *A Banach space E has property (V^*) if and only if E is weakly sequentially complete and every sequence that is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 in E has a subsequence equivalent to a complemented copy of ℓ_1 .*

The most notable examples of Banach spaces with property (V^*) are L^1 -spaces. It was shown in [12] that Bochner spaces $L^1(\lambda, X)$ has property (V^*) whenever X does. In this section, we will study the property (V^*) for the space $M(\Omega, X)$. Since $M(\Omega)$ is an L^1 -space, it has property (V^*) and it is a natural question to address if $M(\Omega, X)$ has property (V^*) whenever X does. It was shown in [19] that if X is a weakly sequentially complete dual space, then $M(\Omega, X)$ is weakly sequentially complete. A counterexample by Talagrand in [18] reveals that there exists a Banach lattice X with property (V^*) with $M(\Omega, X)$

containing c_0 . The following theorem can be viewed as a common generalization of Theorem 2 of [12] and Theorem 17 of [19].

Theorem 4.6. *Let $X = Y^*$ be a dual space. The space $M(\Omega, X)$ has property (V^*) if and only if X does.*

Proof. We will prove the non-trivial implication. Let $K \subset M(\Omega, X)_1$ be a (V^*) -set. The set K does not contain any sequence that generates a complemented copy of ℓ_1 .

Notice that the space $M(\Omega, X) = M(\Omega, Y^*)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C(\Omega, Y)^*$ and therefore $M(\Omega, Y^*) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$ can be identified to the space $N(C(\Omega, Y), c_0)$.

The following lemma will be used.

Lemma 4.7. *Let Y be a Banach space and $(y_n^*)_n$ a bounded sequence in Y^* . Assume that:*

- (i) $(y_n^* \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is weakly Cauchy in $Y^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$;
- (ii) *There exists a convex combination of $(y_n^* \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ say $(\sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} \alpha_i y_i^* \otimes e_i)_{n \geq 1}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} \alpha_i y_i^* \otimes e_i \right\|_{I(Y, \ell_\infty)} = 0$$

then $(y_n^ \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is weakly null in $Y^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$.*

To see the lemma, let u be an element of $Y^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$; u can be viewed as an operator from Y into c_0 . If u is of the form $u = \sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} \alpha_i y_i^* \otimes e_i$ then we claim that

$$\|u\|_{Y^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0} = \|u\|_{I(Y, \ell_\infty)}.$$

To see this notice that $(Y^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0)^* = \mathcal{L}(Y^*, \ell_1)$ and $I(Y, \ell_\infty)$ is a dual space with predual $Y \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \ell_1 \approx K_{w^*}(Y^*, \ell_1)$ the space of weak* to weakly continuous compact operators from Y^* into ℓ_1 .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, the space $\mathcal{S} = \{S^*, S \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, Y)_1\}$ is weak*-dense in $\mathcal{L}(Y^*, \ell_1)_1$ so it is norming. There exists $S \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, Y)$, $\|S\| = 1$ such that

$$\|u\|_{Y^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0} - \varepsilon \leq \langle u, S^* \rangle = \sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} \alpha_i \langle y_i^*, S e_i \rangle ;$$

define $K : c_0 \rightarrow Y$ by $Ke_i = Se_i$ for $i \in [p_n, q_n]$ and $Ke_i = 0$ otherwise. The operator K is clearly bounded with $\|K\| \leq \|S\| \leq 1$. Moreover the operator $K^* \in K_{w^*}(Y^*, \ell_1)$ and $\langle u, S^* \rangle = \langle u, K^* \rangle \leq \|u\|_{I(Y, \ell_\infty)}$ so $\|u\|_{Y^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0} \leq \|u\|_{I(Y, \ell_\infty)} + \varepsilon$ and since ε is arbitrary, the claim follows.

We finish the proof of the lemma by noticing that the sequence $(y_n^* \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly Cauchy and 0 is a weak-cluster point of $(y_n^* \otimes e_n)_n$ so $(y_n^* \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly null. The lemma is proved.

We are now ready to proceed for the theorem. Without loss of generality we can assume that there exists a probability measure λ on (Ω, Σ) such that $|m| \leq \lambda$ for every $m \in K$. Let $(m_n)_n$ be a sequence in K . Since K is a (V^*) -set, for any sequence $G_n \in \text{conv}\{m_n, m_{n+1}, \dots\}$, Lemma 4.4 implies that $(G_n \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly null in $M(\Omega, Y^*) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$. Applying Theorem 3.1 to the sequence $(m_n)_n$, there exist a sequence $G_n \in \text{conv}\{m_n, m_{n+1}, \dots\}$, measurable subsets C and L of Ω with $\lambda(C \cup L) = 1$ and such that:

- (a) for $\omega \in C$, $(\rho(G_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is weakly Cauchy in $Y^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$;
- (b) for $\omega \in L$, $(\rho(G_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is equivalent to ℓ_1 in $Y^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$.

But since $(G_n \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly null in $M(\Omega, Y^*) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$, we conclude from Theorem 3.2(c) that $\lambda(L) = 0$ so for a.e. ω , the sequence $(\rho(G_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is weakly Cauchy in $Y^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$.

On the other hand, the space $M(\Omega, Y^*) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$ can be identified to $N(C(\Omega, Y), c_0)$. Let J be the canonical injection of $N(C(\Omega, Y), c_0)$ into $I(C(\Omega, Y), \ell_\infty)$. The space $I(C(\Omega, Y), \ell_\infty)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $I(C(\Omega), I(Y, \ell_\infty))$ (see Theorem 3 of [17]) which is also isometrically isomorphic to $M(\Omega, I(Y, \ell_\infty))$. Let us denote by $\theta : I(C(\Omega, Y), \ell_\infty) \rightarrow M(\Omega, I(Y, \ell_\infty))$ the isomorphism.

Lemma 4.8. *Let G be a measure in $M(\Omega, Y^*)$ and $e \in c_0$. If we denote by $\widehat{G}$ the measure in $M(\Omega, I(Y, \ell_\infty))$ given by $\widehat{G} = \theta \circ J(G \otimes e)$ then*

$$\rho(\widehat{G})(\omega) = \rho(G)(\omega) \otimes e \quad \text{a.e.}$$

To see this let $f \in C(\Omega)$ and $y \in Y$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{G}, f \rangle(y) &= \langle \widehat{G}, f \otimes y \rangle \\ &= \langle G \otimes e, f \otimes y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \langle \rho(G)(\omega), y \rangle e \, d\lambda(\omega)$$

and by the definition of $\rho(\hat{G})$,

$$\langle \hat{G}, f \otimes y \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \rho(\hat{G})(\omega)(y) \, d\lambda(\omega).$$

So we conclude that for each $y \in Y$,

$$\rho(\hat{G})(\omega)(y) = (\rho(G)(\omega) \otimes e)(y) \text{ a.e.}$$

and the lemma follows.

To conclude the proof of the theorem, recall that $(G_n \otimes e_n)_n$ is weakly null in the space $M(\Omega, Y^*) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} c_0$. From the identification above, if we denote by $\hat{G}_n = \theta \circ J(G_n \otimes e_n)$ then $(\hat{G}_n)_n$ is weakly null in $M(\Omega, I(Y, \ell_{\infty}))$. Using Theorem 16 of [19], we can find $p_1 < q_1 < p_2 < q_2 \cdots p_n < q_n$ and sequences $(\alpha_{i,k})$, with $\sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} \alpha_{i,n} = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} \alpha_{i,n} \rho(\hat{G}_i)(\omega) \right\|_{I(Y, \ell_{\infty})} = 0.$$

But $\rho(\hat{G}_i)(\omega) = \rho(G_i)(\omega) \otimes e_i$ so applying Lemma 4.7, $(\rho(G_n)(\omega) \otimes e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is weakly null in $Y^* \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} c_0$ for a.e. ω , and we can deduce from Lemma 4.4 that $\{\rho(G_n)(\omega), n \geq 1\}$ is a V^* -set in Y^* and since Y^* has property (V^*) , $\{\rho(G_n)(\omega), n \geq 1\}$ is relatively weakly compact in Y^* (for a.e. ω). Hence by Theorem 1 of [14], K is relatively weakly compact. The proof is complete. $\square$

5. COMPLEMENTED COPIES OF ℓ_1 IN $\ell_{\infty}(X)$

In this section, we will apply Section 2 and Section 3 to investigate the following question: when does $\ell_{\infty}(X)$ contain a complemented copy of ℓ_1 ? A closely related question was raised in [16] p.389 (see also [9] Problem 1). The expected answer would be that X contains a complemented copy of ℓ_1 . But this is not the case since the space $X = (\bigoplus_n \ell_1^n)_{c_0}$ does not contain any copy of ℓ_1 but $\ell_{\infty}(X) \approx (\bigoplus_n \ell_1^n)_{\ell_{\infty}}$ contains a complemented copy of ℓ_1 . Diaz [2] has found an interesting result in this direction using local theory. Following [2], we will use the following definition:

Definition 5.1. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. A Banach space X is said to be an S_p -space or that it contains all ℓ_p^n uniformly complemented or it contains a complemented local copy of ℓ_p if there is some constant $C \geq 1$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist operator $J_n \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^n, X)$ and $P_n \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_p^n)$ such that $P_n J_n = id_{\ell_p^n}$ and $\|P_n\| \cdot \|J_n\| \leq C$.

We notice that a Banach space X is an S_p -space if and only if its dual is an $S_{p'}$ -space ($1/p + 1/p' = 1$). As a result a Banach space X is an S_p -space if and only if X^{**} is an S_p -space.

For the following theorem, $(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$ is a finite measure space.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. $L^\infty(\lambda, X)$ contains a complemented copy of ℓ_1 ;
2. $\ell_\infty(X)$ contains a complemented copy of ℓ_1 ;
3. $c_0(X)$ contains all ℓ_1^n uniformly complemented;
4. X contains all ℓ_1^n uniformly complemented.

This theorem was proved in [2] under the assumption that X is a Banach lattice. It should be noted that in the proof given in [2], the lattice assumption was used only to show that (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). So we will present here the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and we refer to [2] Theorem 1 for the other implications. We will divide the proof into two parts.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$ be a finite measure space and E be a Banach space. Let $(m_n)_n$ be a bounded sequence in $M^\infty(\lambda, E)$. If E^{**} does not contain any complemented copy of ℓ_1 then the sequence $(m_n)_n$ does not have any subsequence that generates a complemented copy of ℓ_1 in $M(\Omega, E)$.*

Proof. To prove that $(m_n)_n$ does not contain any subsequence equivalent to complemented copy of ℓ_1 , it is enough to show that for every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, E), \ell_1)$, $\{T(m_n), n \geq 1\}$ is relatively compact in ℓ_1 . To see this let $T \in \mathcal{L}(M(\Omega, E), \ell_1)_1$ and ρ be a lifting of $L^\infty(\lambda)$. By Proposition 2.4, there exists a map $\omega \mapsto T(\omega)(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E^{**}, \ell_1))_1$ such that

$$Z(T, m_n)(\omega) = T(\omega)(\rho(m_n)(\omega)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

where $Z(\cdot, m_n)$ is the notation introduced in Section 2.

Recall that $T(m_n) = \int_{\Omega} Z(T, m_n)(\omega) d\lambda(\omega)$. Since E^{**} does not contain any complemented copy of ℓ_1 , the operators $T(\omega)$'s are compact for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and therefore $\{T(\omega)(\rho(m_n)(\omega)), n \geq 1\}$ is compact for a.e. ω . By Ülger's criteria of weak-compactness in Bochner spaces, $\{T(\cdot)(\rho(m_n)(\cdot)), n \geq 1\}$ is relatively weakly compact in $L^1(\lambda, \ell_1)$ and hence there exists subsequence (m_{n_k}) of $(m_n)_n$ such that

$$T(m_{n_k}) = \int_{\Omega} T(\omega)(\rho(m_{n_k})(\omega)) d\lambda(\omega)$$

converges weakly in ℓ_1 . The proof is complete. $\square$

For the next proposition, we consider $\Omega = [0, 1]$, Σ is the σ -algebra of the Borel subsets of $[0, 1]$ and λ the Lebesgue measure.

Proposition 5.4. *Let X be a Banach space and let us assume that there exists a bounded sequence $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\ell_{\infty}(X)$ that generates a complemented copy of ℓ_1 in $\ell_{\infty}(X)$ then there exists a sequence $(m_k)_k$ in $M([0, 1], c_0(X))$ with $m_k \in M^{\infty}(\lambda, c_0(X))$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the sequence $(m_k)_k$ is equivalent to a complemented copy of ℓ_1 in $M([0, 1], c_0(X))$.*

Proof. Let $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence that is equivalent to a complemented copy of ℓ_1 in $\ell_{\infty}(X)$. There exists a bounded operator S from $\ell_{\infty}(X)$ onto ℓ_1 with $S(a_k) = e_k^* \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(r_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence of Rademacher functions. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$m_k(A) = \left(\int_A r_n(t) a_k(n) dt \right)_{n \geq 1}$$

where $a_k(n)$ denotes the n^{th} projection of $a_k \in \ell_{\infty}(X)$ into X .

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_k(A)(n) = \int_A r_n(t) a_k(n) dt$ belongs to X and

$$\|m_k(A)(n)\| = \left| \int_A r_n(t) dt \right| \|a_k(n)\|$$

and since $(r_n)_n$ is weakly null in $L^1[0, 1]$ (see for instance [3]), $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|m_k(A)(n)\| = 0$. So m_k is indeed a measure in $M([0, 1], c_0(X))$. Moreover for every measurable subset A of $[0, 1]$, $\|m_k(A)\|_{c_0(X)} \leq \sup_k \|a_k\| \cdot \lambda(A)$ so the sequence $(m_k)_k$ is bounded and is in $M^{\infty}(\lambda, c_0(X))$.

For any measure $m \in M([0, 1], c_0(X))$, we will denote by $m^{(n)}$ the measure in $M([0, 1], X)$ given by $m^{(n)}(A) = m(A)(n)$ (the n^{th} projection of $m(A)$ onto X). The measure $m^{(n)}$ is well defined for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $|m| \ll \lambda$, then $|m^{(n)}| \ll \lambda$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we will denote by $\rho(m^{(n)}) : [0, 1] \rightarrow X^{**}$ a weak*-density of $m^{(n)}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ . In this case

$$m^{(n)}(A) = \text{weak}^* - \int_A \rho(m^{(n)})(t) dt \in X$$

for every $A \in \Sigma$. Since r_n is a simple function on $[0, 1]$, we conclude that

$$\text{weak}^* - \int_A \rho(m^{(n)})(t) r_n(t) dt \in X$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \Sigma$ so the measure $[m] : \Sigma \rightarrow \ell_\infty(X)$ defined by

$$[m](A) = \left(\text{weak}^* - \int_A \rho(m^{(n)})(t) r_n(t) dt \right)_{n \geq 1}$$

is well defined and satisfies

$$\|[m](A)\|_{\ell_\infty(X)} \leq \|m(A)\|_{c_0(X)} .$$

Define $T : M_\lambda([0, 1], c_0(X)) \rightarrow M([0, 1], \ell^\infty(X))$ by

$$T(m) = [m] \quad \forall m \in M_\lambda([0, 1], c_0(X)) .$$

(Here $M_\lambda([0, 1], c_0(X))$ denotes the subset of $M([0, 1], c_0(X))$ of all measures that are absolutely continuous with respect to λ .) The operator T is clearly linear and $\|T\| \leq 1$. For the operator $S : \ell_\infty(X) \rightarrow \ell_1$ defined above, we consider $\hat{S} : M([0, 1], \ell_\infty(X)) \rightarrow \ell_1$ by $\hat{S}(m) = S(m([0, 1]))$.

Claim: For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{S} \circ T(m_k) = e_k^*$.

To see the claim, notice that since

$$m_k(A) = \left(\int_A r_n(t) a_k(n) dt \right)_{n \geq 1} ,$$

$$m_k^{(n)}(A) = \text{Bochner} \int_A r_n(t) a_k(n) dt .$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} T(m_k)(A) &= [m_k](A) = \left(\lambda(A) a_k(n) \right)_{n \geq 1} \\ &= \lambda(A) a_k . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\widehat{S} \circ T(m_k) = S(a_k) = e_k^* \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that $(m_k)_{k \geq 1}$ contains a subsequence equivalent to the ℓ_1 -basis in $M_\lambda([0, 1], c_0(X))$ and since $M_\lambda([0, 1], c_0(X))$ is complemented in $M([0, 1], c_0(X))$ (see Theorem I.9 of [4]), the proof of the proposition is complete. $\square$

To prove the theorem, assume that $\ell_\infty(X)$ contains a complemented copy of ℓ_1 , then from Proposition 5.4 there exists a bounded sequence $(m_k)_k$ in $M^\infty(\lambda, c_0(X))$ that is equivalent to a complemented copy of ℓ_1 in $M([0, 1], c_0(X))$ and this implies from Proposition 5.3 that $c_0(X)^{**}$ contains a complemented copy of ℓ_1 so $c_0(X)^{**}$ is an S_1 -space and this is equivalent to $c_0(X)$ is an S_1 -space. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *If X is a super-reflexive space then $\ell_\infty(X)$ does not contain any complemented copy of ℓ_1 .*

As it was noticed in [2], the space $X = (\oplus_n \ell_1^n)_{\ell_2}$ is a reflexive space but $L^\infty([0, 1], X)$ and $\ell_\infty(X)$ contain complemented copies of ℓ_1 .

Acknowledgements. Most of the work in this paper was done when the author was participating in the workshop on linear analysis and probability (Summer 1995) at the Texas A&M University. The author would like to express his gratitude to the Department of Mathematics of the Texas A&M University for its hospitality and financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bombal. *On (V^*) sets and Pelczynski's property (V^*)* . Glasgow Math. J., **32**:109–120, (1990).
- [2] S. Díaz. *Complemented ℓ_1 -copies through local Banach theory*. Preprint.
- [3] J. Diestel. *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, volume **92** of Graduate Text in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, New York, first edition, (1984).
- [4] J. Diestel and Jr. J.J. Uhl. *Vector Measures*, volume **15** of Math Surveys. AMS, Providence, RI, (1977).
- [5] G. Emmanuele. *On the Banach spaces with the property (V^*) of Pelczyński*. Annali Mat. Pura e Applicata, **152**:171–181, (1988).
- [6] G. Godefroy and P. Saab. *Weakly unconditionally convergent series in M -ideals*. Math. Scand., **64**:307–318, (1990).

- [7] A. Ionescu-Tulcea and C. Ionescu-Tulcea. *Topics in the theory of lifting*, volume **48** of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, first edition, (1969).
- [8] D. Leung. *Property (V^*) in the space of Bochner-integrable functions*. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **35**:147–150, (1990).
- [9] J. Mendoza. *Copies of classical sequence spaces in vector valued function Banach spaces*. Preprint.
- [10] A. Pelczynski. *On Banach spaces on which every unconditionally converging operator is weakly compact*. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.*, **10**:641–648, (1962).
- [11] H. Pfitzner. *L-summands in their biduals have pelczyński's property (v^*)* . *Studia Math.*, **104**:91–98, (1993).
- [12] N. Randrianantoanina. *Complemented copies of ℓ^1 and Pelczyński's property (V^*) in Bochner spaces*. *Canadian. J. Math.* (to appear).
- [13] N. Randrianantoanina. *Pelczyński's property (V^*) for symmetric operator spaces*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [14] N. Randrianantoanina and E. Saab. *Weak compactness in the space of vector valued measures*. *Rocky Mount. J. of Math.*, **24**:681–688, (1994).
- [15] H.P. Rosenthal. *A characterization of Banach spaces containing ℓ_1* . *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **71**:2411–2413, (1974).
- [16] E. Saab and P. Saab. *Stability problems in Banach spaces*. *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, **136**:367–394, (1992).
- [17] P. Saab. *Integral operators on spaces of continuous vector-valued functions*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **111**:1003–1013, (1991).
- [18] M. Talagrand. *Quand l'espace des mesures a variation bornée est-il faiblement séquentiellement complet ?* *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **99**:285–288, (1984).
- [19] M. Talagrand. *Weak Cauchy sequences in $L^1(E)$* . *Amer. J. Math.*, **106**:703–724, (1984).
- [20] P. Wojtaszczyk. *Banach spaces for analysts*. Cambridge University Press, first edition, (1991).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN,
AUSTIN, TX 78712-1082

E-mail address: nrandri@math.utexas.edu